

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMESS CER OF DATE AND TRAY EMARCS was useful got under the Commerce of the Commerce o

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09:762,587	09/06/2001	Antonio Grillo-Lopez	PM0277847	5272
909	7590 11/05/2002			
PILLSBURY WINTHROP, LLP			FXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 10: MCLEAN, V			DAVIS, MINH TAM B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1642	7
			DATE MAILED: 11/05/2002	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

V	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/762,587	GRILLO-LOPEZ, ANTONIO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	MINH-TAM DAVIS	1642			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	9 May 2002 .				
_ ` _ ` _	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the applicati	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 1-31 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office	Action Summary	Doct of Dopos No. 7			

Art Unit: 1642

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-6, 10-11, 17-23, 27, 29, 30, drawn to a method for treating Blymphoma or relapsed B-cell lymphoma, comprising administering an anti-CD20 antibody or a chimeric anti-CD20 antibody.

Group II, claim(s) 7-9, drawn to a method for treating a subject having B-cell lymphoma, which subject has not exhibit appreciable tumor remission or regression after administering a chimeric anti-CD20 antibody, comprising administering a radiolabeled anti-CD20 antibody.

Group III, claims 12-16, 28, drawn to a method for treating B-cell lymphoma, comprising administering a synergistic combination comprising at least one anti-CD20 antibody and at least one cytokine.

Group IV, claims 24-26, 31, drawn to a method for reducing residual CD20+ tumor cells in bone marrow or stem cells before or after myeloablative, comprising administrating to a patient an anti-CD20 antibody.

Further, upon election of group I, further election of the following species is required:

Art Unit: 1642

Treating with antibodies alone, or in combination with chemotherapy, or in combination with bone marrow or stem cell transplantation.

Upon election of the species treating with antibodies in combination with chemotherapy, further election of the following species is required:

Any one of the chemotherapy recited in claim 6 or 18.

Upon election of any of groups I-III, further election of the following species is required:

Any one of the B-cell lymphoma listed in claims 27-31.

Upon election of group III, further election of the following species is required:

Any one of the cytokines or any one of the combinations of cytokines recited in claim 13.

The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

A national stage application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept. When claims to different categories are present in the application, the claims will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories: (1) A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or (2) A product and a process of use of said product; or (3) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product; or (4) A process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying

Application/Control Number: 09/762,587

Art Unit: 1642

out the said process; or (5) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process. If multiple products, processes of manufacture or uses are claimed, the first invention of the category first mentioned in the claims of the application will be considered as the main invention in the claims, see PCT article 17(3) (a) and 1.476 (c), 37 C.F.R. 1.475(b) and (d). Group I will be the main invention. After that, all other products and methods will be broken out as separate groups (see 37 CFR 1.475(d).)

Group I, claims 1-6, 10-11, 27 form a single general inventive concept.

According to PCT rule 13.2 unity of invention exists only when the shared same or corresponding technical feature is a contribution over the prior art. The inventions of groups II-VI however do not relate to a single general inventive concept because they lack the same or corresponding technical feature as group I. The technical feature of group I, administration of an anti-CD20 antibody, lacks novelty or inventive step as evidenced by US 5,595721, and does not make a contribution over the prior art.

Groups II-IV are additional methods, which do not use common reagents or common method steps as the method of group I.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicants are required under 35 USC 121 to elect a single disclosed group for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted. Applicant is further advised that if Applicant elects a group having species requirement, a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with

Application/Control Number: 09/762,587

Art Unit: 1642

Page 5

this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 USC 103 of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MINH-TAM DAVIS whose telephone number is 703-305-2008. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30AM-4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ANTHONY CAPUTA can be reached on 703-308-3995. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-872-9307 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/762,587

Art Unit: 1642

Page 6

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0916.

MINH TAM DAVIS

November 01, 2002

